Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/418,418	BHARAT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit ,
	Baoquoc N. To	2162
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: Allowance	
(1) <u>Baoquoc N. To</u> .	(3)	
(2) Albert C. Metrailer, Reg. No. 27,145.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		•
20 and 21	1	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT N	NAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
•	·	
	· ·	
but h		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant representative, Mr. Albert C. Metrailer to discuss claims 19 and 20 because claim 19 as claimed was program per se or software per se and claim 20 claimed a computer usable medium; however, the term lacked antecedent basis. The examiner suggested applicant to amend claim 19 with the system disclosed in page 6, lines in 8-9 having processor and memory and changing computer usable medium to computer readable medium which disclosed in page 6, lines 16-17. Mr. Metrailer agreed amend the claim as discussed and authorized the changes to be made by examiner amendment.